

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/904,692	07/13/2001	Raymond Francis Jakubowicz	961_002	4749
20874	7590 03/29/2005		EXAMINER	
WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI 101 SOUTH SALINA STREET			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
SUITE 400	SALINA STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SYRACUSE	, NY 13202		1743	
			DATE MAILED, 02/20/2000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Occasion	09/904,692	JAKUBOWICZ ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lyle A Alexander	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. .136(a). In no event, however, may a r eply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	January 2005		•
	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matt	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-22 and 55-61 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-22 and 55-61 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date iformal Patent Application (PTO-15: 	2)

Art Unit: 1743

Ģ

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-22 and 55-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, the original specification describes first and second drives mechanisms, but is silent to these mechanisms operating in first and second horizontal directions.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-22 and 55-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear how the first and second drive mechanisms operate in first and second horizontal directions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,692

Art Unit: 1743

٩

Claims 2-22 and 55-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Miller, Muszak et al. or Carey et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 8/18/04 Office action.

In light of the above 35 USC 112 issues, the claims are best understood as concentric rings which have been read on the claimed arrays. Each ring has means to add the sample in an orthogonal direction. Also, each ring has relative movement to teach other in the horizontal axis (e.g. when the ring rotates, it moves in a horizontal direction relative to the other ring).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In light of the above 35 USC 112 issues, the issues of horizontal movement are not fully understood and it appears the original disclosure does not support this language. However, to further the action the Office will comment on the horizontal movement issues without agreeing horizontal movement is supported by the original disclosure.

The Office maintains all of the cited references teach horizontal movement between the first and second arrays/trays. The relative horizontal movement occurs when the arrays/trays rotate upon their axis and the relative positions of each change in a horizontal direction. This has been properly read on the instant language of a first and second horizontal directions.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,692

Art Unit: 1743

í

Applicants' state Miller, Muszak et al. and Carey et al. all teach shuttling of the slide elements only by virtue of horizontal movement of the rotors. The instant claim language is open (e.g. comprising) and does not exclude additional horizontal movements.

Applicants' state none of the cited prior art teaches slide elements loaded and tested synchronously between load stations on a common horizontal plate using drive mechanisms that act is substantially orthogonal directions. It is not clear if the pending claims are commensurate in scope with these remarks. However, the art does teach elements being loaded in synchronous manner (e.g. the loading occurs while the device is performing other functions and does not stop for the loading) in a direction orthogonal to the direction of rotation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,692

Art Unit: 1743

,692 Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743
